## Interview Summary

Application No. 08/878,433

Applicant(s)

Flaherty et al.

Examiner

William Ackerman

Group Art Unit 3626



All participants (applican	nt, applicant's representative, P	TO personnel):
(1) <u>William Ackerman</u>		(3)
(2) Kevin Moran		(4)
Date of Interview	Mar 11, 1999	<del></del>
Type: 🛛 Telephonic	☐ Personal (copy is given to	applicant applicant's representative).
Exhibit shown or demon	stration conducted:	X No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-1</u>		
Identification of prior art EP 369295	t discussed:	
The allowance of the cla		to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:  of the seal pumping structure was agreed to if the claims 25-27  of EP 369295.
the claims allowable mu	necessary, and a copy of the ar ust be attached. Also, where no thereof must be attached.)	mendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render to copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable

1. X It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.